. Charles

 12 th Janry. 1744 - Andrew Caldwell
 200 as.

 5 May 1749 - Do.
 20 as addition

 29 Augt. 1747 David Laird
 50 as - sold to M°Clure 11th Mar. 1748

 27th. Decr. 1748 Jno. M°Connol
 25 as × ×

10th Aprill 1747 Ino. M. Connel 1000s more or less which was Lax'd out as much to the advantage of Ino. M. Connell as was Reasonable for him to Desire, Including as much Land (1880s) as Could well be without Detrim! to the Proprietaries Lands adjoying, and was told before he applied for his warr! of 250s acres that he ought not to have that addition, as ye same would be Injurous, but thereby he Intended to hinder other Settlem! by Takeing Some wood & water (Reserved for that End) M. Connells place was Settled Soon after the proprietor Came into this Country has been Sold & Bought Several times but Never Deem'd more than 1000s before it was in M. Connells possession, and what if both warr! were apply d to ye 188 as. already Survey'd to him, Since both do not Equall ye Quantity by 500s or more.

1br. 10th. 1749.

Sno Churchman

Note, The above said McConnell after ye Execution of his 100a Warrant, made his Braggs that he had Spoilt McClures Settlem! and Seem'd not anxious to have any more, till afterward he saw that McClure would take the Remainder (which if now it is Robd by McConnels last warrant will be little worth GG.

Monnel Mclule

When I gave judgment that M. Connols Warrant of 25 as should be laid along his Lines first of all, I understood that the Warrt was for an addition to ye land actually surveyed viz 188 as, whereas in truth the first Warrant being only for 100 as. & 188 as, being surveyed thereon the surveyor did not conceive himself justified to return 188 as on a Warrt, for 100 as & therefore addyd another warrant of 25 as, that he might make ye Return of 188 as in right of both Warrants went I cannot but approve & think & judge yt ye 188 as be in full for both Warrants

לשעכשפובג פחענא

DENNY & MECIUNE

201h March 1749/

K.F